

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,485	08/22/2003	Arthur H. Barnes	10019323-4 9315	
75	90 09/15/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    10/646,485		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Jason Uhlenhake   Z853	•	10/646,485	BARNES ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map be available under the provides of 37 cFf 1.17(a), no revent, however, may a reply be timely find after 50 (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 15 cff 1.18(a), no revent, however, may a reply be timely find after 50 (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure be reply while the set or calmidal grade of the communication of 15 cff 1.18(a), no revent provided provide from year with the provided provide from year with 15 cff 1.18(a).  Failure be reply while the set or 25 cff 1.17(4), 1.18(a).  Status  1)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Extensions of the major timely available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, nowever, may a religible timely filed  Failure to reply willing the set of extended period for reply will, by attack, cause the application to become ARANDONED G3 U.S. 5, 3133, Any reply religible to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any event time adjustment, associated to be a filed the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any event time adjustment, associated to be a filed to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any event time adjustment, as on the mailing date of filed communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any event time adjustment, associated to be become adjustment and the mailing date of filed communication, even if timely filed, and response to the mailing date of filed communication.  1] Responsive to communication for all adjustment and the mailing date of filed communication.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is filed to mail action for experience for formal matters, prosecution as to the mailing date of filed communication.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2c) This action i		Jason Uhlenhake	2853			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT. 130(), not event, however, may a reply be timely field  It NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failute to may be reply will by teator, cause the application to Second BANDOLOGIC 30 LS C. § 1333. As yes processed by the Office later than these months after the maling date of this communication, even if simely field, may refuse any search part in adjustment. See 37 CPTs 1704(s)  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exansions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(§). In or overs, to reverse, they reply be timery field after 53 (c) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to may with the set of extended period from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to may with the set or extended period from they will, by status, cause the application to become ABANDADE (03 to 15.C, § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned parter term edipartment. Set 37 CFR 1.794(s).  - Status  1)	• •					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	,_	a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims  4)	•					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 43-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Oratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims					
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 43-62 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.					
6)	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Information(Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Information(Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informalion-Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,— · · · ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information\Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information(Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  10 ☐ Information(Pisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information(Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1. Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information\Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	<del>-</del> · · · · ·					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * June 1						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	l					
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,485

Art Unit: 2853

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 43-50, 52-58, 60-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5, 8, 10, 13-15, 17, 21 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,789,870. This is a double patenting rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 56 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 56 recites the limitation "the test line" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2853

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 43-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marler et al (U.S. Pat. 5,975,677) in view of Howkins (U.S. Pat. 4,523,200) and Li et al (U.S. Pat. 6,431,679).

#### Marler et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 43,** printing a pattern having a first portion and a second portion, wherein the first portion is printed by the first fluid source (Column 12, Lines 29-31) and the second portion is printed by the second fluid source (Column 12, Lines 32-34); obtaining a drop volume relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity from the pattern (Column 14, Lines 6-11)
- **regarding claim 44,** determining whether a ratio between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity deviates from a specified ratio (Abstract; Column 5, Lines 38-44)
- **regarding claim 48,** creating a fit line along at least a part of the signal response of the test portion (Column 7, Lines 16-31)
- regarding claims 49, 57, the first portion has a fixed fill density and the second has a varying fill density (Column 11, Lines 11-32)

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 50,** determining a fill density of the second portion corresponding to a fill density of the first portion (Column 2, Lines 25-30); calculating the second drop quantity from the fill density of the second portion (Column 12, Lines 56-62)

- **regarding claims 51, 59,** at least one selected from the group consisting of a spit bar and a light absorbing portion (Column 6, Lines 11-12)
- **regarding claim 52,** printing a test pattern having a reference portion and a test portion, wherein the reference portion is printed by the first fluid source (Column 12, Lines 29-31) and the test portion is printed by the second fluid source (Column 12, Lines 32-34); obtaining a drop volume relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity from the pattern (Column 14, Lines 6-11)
- **regarding claim 53,** scanning the test pattern to obtain data, wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship between the first drop volume and the second drop volume from the test pattern based on the data (Column 12, Lines 56-62)
- **regarding claim 54,** data includes reference portion data and test portion data, wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship by comparing the reference portion data with the test portion data (Column 14, Lines 6-11)
- **regarding claim 56,** wherein the test line is fit to at least a part of the test portion data (Column 9, Lines 13-23)
- regarding claim 58, determining a fill density of the test portion
   substantially equal to a fill density of the reference portion (Column 2, Lines 25-30); and

Art Unit: 2853

calculating the second drop volume from the fill density of the test portion (Column 12, Lines 56-62)

- regarding claim 60, means for scanning a test pattern having a reference portion and a test portion and generating a sensor output, wherein the reference portion is printed by the first fluid source and the test portion is printed by the second fluid source (Column 12, Lines 56-62)
- means for obtaining a relationship between the first drop volume and the second drop volume from the test pattern (Column 14, Lines 6-11)
- means for changing a color map using the relationship (Column 9, Lines 13-24)
- regarding claim 61, scanning means includes means for illuminating the test pattern (Column 8, Lines 32-44)
- **regarding claim 62,** means for determining a fill density of the test portion corresponding to a fill density of the reference portion (Column 7, Liens 36-43); means for calculating a drop volume from the fill density of the test portion (Column 12, Lines 56-62)

# Marler et al does not disclose expressly the following:

- regarding claim 43, adjusting data used to determine quantities of fluid to eject from the first fluid source or the second fluid source based on the relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 45,** scanning the pattern to obtain a signal response, wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity based on the signal response

- **regarding claim 46,** the signal response includes a first portion response and a second portion response, and wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship by comparing the first portion response with the second portion response
- **regarding claim 47,** determining an intersection point between a calculated value of the first portion response and the second portion response; and determining the fill density of the first portion at the intersection point
- **regarding claim 48,** adjusting the intersection point to an intersection between the fit line and the calculated value of the reference portion response
- **regarding claim 52,** adjusting at least one value in a color map using the drop volume relationship between the first drop volume and the second drop volume
- regarding claim 55, determining an intersection point between a reference line determined from the reference portion and the test portion data; and determining the fill density of the test portion at the intersection point
- **regarding claim 56,** determining the intersection point includes determining an interaction between the fit line and the reference line

# Howkins discloses:

- **regarding claim 43,** adjusting data used to determine quantities of fluid to eject from the first fluid source or the second fluid source based on the relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity (Column 10, Lines 55-60),

Art Unit: 2853

for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets

- regarding claim 47, determining an intersection point between a calculated value of the first portion response and the second portion response; and determining the fill density of the first portion at the intersection point (Figure 21; Column 8, Line 50 Column 9, Line15), for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets
- **regarding claim 48,** adjusting the intersection point to an intersection between the fit line and the calculated value of the reference portion response (Figure 21; Column 8, Line 50 Column 9, Line15), for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets
- **regarding claim 52,** adjusting at least one value in a color map using the drop volume relationship between the first drop volume and the second drop volume (Figures 17-20), for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets
- regarding claim 55, determining an intersection point between a reference line determined from the reference portion and the test portion data (Figures 14-16); and determining the fill density of the test portion at the intersection point (Column 7, Lines 16-32), for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets
- **regarding claim 56,** determining the intersection point includes determining an interaction between the fit line and the reference line (Column 7Lines

Art Unit: 2853

53-68; Column 8, Lines 1-9), for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets, for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets

## Li et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 45,** scanning the pattern to obtain a signal response, wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship between the first drop quantity and the second drop quantity based on the signal response (Column 3, Lines 49-56; Column 5, Lines 53-61), for the purpose of calibrating print contrast in a printing system
- regarding claim 46, the signal response includes a first portion response and a second portion response, and wherein the obtaining step obtains the drop volume relationship by comparing the first portion response with the second portion response (Column 3, Lines 49-56; Column 5, Lines 53-61), for the purpose of calibrating print contrast in a printing system

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Howkins and Li et al into the device of Marler et al, for the purpose of substantially eliminating instabilities associated with the ejection of ink droplets and calibrating print contrast in a printing system.

Art Unit: 2853

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 13, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER